PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	_					
Applicant's or agent's file reference TDK070WO	FOR FURTHER AC	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No.	International filing dat	te (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/JP2004/006604	11 May 2004	(11.05.2004)	15 May 2003 (15.05.2003)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or H01L 21/68, B01J 3/02	national classification and	d IPC				
Applicant	TDK CORP	ORATION				
This report is the international prel Authority under Article 35 and train			s International Preliminary Examining 66.			
2. This REPORT consists of a total o	of sheets,	including this cover	sheet.			
3. This report is also accompanied by	ANNEXES, comprising	:				
a. (sent to the applicant an	nd to the International Bu	reau) a total of 8	sheets, as follows:			
and/or sheets co	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goe beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box					
b. (sent to the Internati	ional Bureau only) a (, contai indicated in the Supplen	ning a sequence listi	ype and number of electronic carrier(s)) ng and/or tables related thereto, in computer to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the			
4. This report contains indications re	lating to the following ite	ems:				
Box No. I Basis of the	report					
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establis	shment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of unit	ty of invention					
	tatement under Article 35(d explanations supporting		velty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
1 —	uments cited	auon automom				
	ects in the international ap	plication				
	ervations on the internation					
		Date of completion	of this report			
Date of submission of the demand		•				
10 February 2005 (10.	02.2005)	31	March 2005 (31.03.2005)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JF)	Authorized officer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.				

Translation

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/006604

Box No.	. 1	Basis of the report						
 With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 								
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:							
	international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))							
		publication of the international application (* **					
		international preliminary examination (unde	•					
!		·	•					
furni.	shed to are not The in	d to the elements of the international apple to the receiving Office in response to an invite annexed to this report): nternational application as originally filed/fur	ation under Article 14 are refer	n (replacement sheets which have been red to in this report as "originally filed"				
\boxtimes		escription:						
	pages		1-35	, as originally filed/furnished				
	pages		received by this Authority on					
	pages		received by this Authority on					
\boxtimes	the cl	laims:						
	pages		9, 11, 14, 17	, as originally filed/furnished				
	pages			ogether with any statement) under Article 19				
	pages		received by this Authority on	10 February 2005 (10.02.2005)				
	pages	3*	received by this Authority on					
	the dr	rawings:						
<u>-</u>	pages		1-19	, as originally filed/furnished				
	pages	s*	received by this Authority on					
	pages	3*	received by this Authority on					
	a secu	uence listing and/or any related table(s) – see	Supplemental Box Relating to S	Sequence Listing.				
	- 1	_ ,	in a second to	- -				
, 🖂	TL-	mendments have resulted to discuss to the	of:					
3. 🔀	THE 8	amendments have resulted in the cancellation	UI.					
		the description, pages	10.00 ==					
	\boxtimes		18, 20-22					
		the drawings, sheets/figs						
		the sequence listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (spec						
4.	made	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been nade, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Rule 70.2(c)).						
		the description, pages						
		the claims, Nos.						
	the drawings, sheets/figs							
	the sequence listing (specify):							
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (spec						
		, John Glober						
* If ite	* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/006604

Box No.	. IV	Lack of unity of invention
1.	In	response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
		restricted the claims.
		paid additional fees.
		paid additional fees under protest.
		neither restricted nor paid additional fees.
2. 🔀	This not t	Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, o invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3. This	Autho	rity considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
	comp	lied with.
\bowtie		omplied with for the following reasons:
require	al or (ment	inventions relating to claims 1-6, 8-11 and 13-17 and the invention relating to claim 19 have no corresponding special technical features with each other; therefore, they do not satisfy the of unity (the clean box and other shared constitutions do not have characteristics making s to the prior art as seen in the cited documents).
		i
		ly, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	\boxtimes	all parts.
		the parts relating to claims Nos

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Box No. V

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/006604

citations and explana	tions supporting such s	tatement	
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-6, 8-11, 13-17, 19	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-6, 8-11, 13-17, 19	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-6, 8-11, 13-17, 19	YES
	Claims		NO
& US, 6641349, Document 2: JP, 11-354602, A	, A (TDK Corp.), No , B1, Column 7, line A (K.K. Mekkusu), I	ovember 14, 2000 (11.14.00), Paragraphs (29-column 13, line 24 December 24, 1999 (12.24.99), Paragraphs	0000 0025
Document 3: JP, 6-2/5699, A	(Ebara Corporation)), September 30, 1994 (09.30.94), Paragrapation), January 19, 2001 (01.19.01), Paragr	-b- 0005 0010
1) Regarding claims 1-6 and 13	2 17		

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;

The inventions of claims 1-6 and 13-17 do not appear to involve an inventive step based on documents 1 and 2 cited in the ISR.

Document 2 describes a projection having a latch function enabling engagement with a reception hole; therefore, using a latch member comprising a cam plate and latch pin as described in document 1 to provide a latch function and thus achieve the present invention could be easily conceived of by a party skilled in the art. Also, document 2 describes a jaw portion engaged with a reception hole seat, a bottom portion having a smaller cross-sectional area than that of the jaw portion, and an elongated hole seat, and the point that the jaw portion and elongated hole seat engage only after they are separated a prescribed distance from one another.

In the written reply, the applicant asserts that using the constitution described in document 2 for a FOUP type pod for the constitution described in document 1 for a SMIF type pod could not have been conceived of. However, both FOUP type pod and SMIF type pod relate to a container constituting a mini environment, and simply changing the opening/closing direction of a lid does not invalidate the opening/closing mechanism having a latch pin as described in document 2; therefore, the applicant's assertion is not accepted.

(2) Regarding claims 8-11

The inventions of claims 8-11 do not appear to involve an inventive step based on documents 1-3 cited in the ISR.

Document 3 describes a first exhaust port disposed adjacent to a port door, and a second exhaust port disposed apart from the first exhaust port. The point of providing a pressure sensor is a mere matter of design that could be appropriately conceived of as necessary by a party skilled in the art.

(5) Regarding claim 19

The invention of claim 19 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on documents 1 and 4 cited in the ISR.

This is merely use in the invention of document 1 of a constitution as described in document 4 wherein a depressurized space sealing the lid is an internal space.

In the written reply, the applicant asserts, "regarding the invention relating to claim 19, no explanation was made for denying inventive step." However, comments similar to the above are made in the previous opinion (see the supplemental box, "Continuation of Box V").